IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER MOEHRL, MICHAEL)	
COLE, STEVE DARNELL, VALERIE)	
NAGER, JACK RAMEY, DANIEL)	
UMPA, and JANE RUH, on behalf of)	
themselves and all others similarly situated,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Case No: 1:19-cv-01610
)	
)	
V.)	Judge Andrea Wood
)	
THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF)	
REALTORS, REALOGY HOLDINGS)	
CORP., HOMESERVICES OF AMERICA,)	
INC., BHH AFFILIATES, LLC, HSF)	
AFFILIATES, LLC, THE LONG &)	
FOSTER COMPANIES, INC.,)	
RE/MAX LLC, and KELLER)	
WILLIAMS REALTY, INC.,)	
5.4.4)	
Defendants.)	

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to the Court's Order (ECF No. 212), Plaintiffs, Christopher Moehrl, Michael Cole, Steve Darnell, Valerie Nager, Jack Ramey, Daniel Umpa, and Jane Ruh, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants, The National Association of Realtors®, Realogy Holdings Corp., HomeServices of America, Inc., BHH Affiliates, LLC, The Long & Foster Companies, Inc., RE/MAX LLC, and Keller Williams Realty, Inc. ("Defendants"), (collectively, the "Parties"), respectfully submit this Joint Status Report setting forth the current status of discovery, as well as disputes and other issues the Parties wish to raise at the next status conference on February 24, 2021, at 11:00 a.m.

I. FRANCHISEE DISCOVERY

Plaintiffs and Realogy Holdings Corp., HomeServices of America, Inc., BHH Affiliates, LLC, The Long & Foster Companies, Inc., RE/MAX LLC, and Keller Williams Realty, Inc. (the "Corporate Defendants") previously advised the Court that they were at an impasse on whether the Corporate Defendants have possession, custody, or control over certain documents associated with brokerages that operate pursuant to franchise agreements with the Corporate Defendants.

Plaintiffs and the Corporate Defendants have now completed briefing on this issue, which is scheduled for hearing on Wednesday, February 24, at 11 a.m. The Corporate Defendants request that the Court schedule this hearing via Zoom so that the Defendants would be able to show certain materials to the Court. Alternatively, the Defendants could submit those materials to the Court via email prior to the hearing.

II. DEFENDANTS' DOCUMENT REQUESTS AND INTERROGATORIES

Plaintiffs' Position

Plaintiffs advised Defendants that named Plaintiff Valerie Nager intended to withdraw from the litigation on November 18, 2020 and sought their consent. On January 12, 2021, Defendant NAR subsequently served discovery requests on Ms. Nager and requested her deposition. Having not received Defendants' position on Ms. Nager's request to withdraw, on February 3, 2021, Plaintiffs again raised the issue and circulated a proposed joint stipulation. Plaintiffs further advised that if the parties could not agree on a joint stipulation, Plaintiffs would move to withdraw Ms. Nager as a class representative and for a protective order. Following a meet and confer with NAR, NAR agreed to give Ms. Nager until March 3 to respond to the discovery requests served on her. On February 10, Defendants then jointly served discovery requests and interrogatories on all Plaintiffs, including Ms. Nager. ¹

Plaintiffs intend to file shortly on behalf of Ms. Nager a motion to withdraw under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21. Plaintiffs also intend to move for a protective order should Defendants continue to seek discovery from Ms. Nager. Ms. Nager no longer wishes to participate in the litigation for personal reasons. Plaintiffs' position is that such a request is routine and should be granted because neither NAR nor the other Defendants has incurred any burden or prejudice from specifically litigating against Ms. Nager. Indeed, Plaintiffs promptly sought Defendants' consent for Ms. Nager to withdraw almost immediately after receiving Defendants' answers. Accordingly, all of Defendants' discovery was served after they first learned that Ms. Nager wished to withdraw from the litigation. Moreover, the discovery served on Ms. Nager is hardly "modest," as NAR contends. Indeed, NAR served a deposition request on Ms. Nager alone among the Plaintiffs. And NAR's document requests are specifically targeted at Ms. Nager's decisions to join and then withdraw from the case—not her underlying claims. Such discovery is irrelevant to predominance issues since they solely regard Ms. Nager's individual decisions. There is no reason to condition Ms. Nager's withdrawal from the litigation on responses to discovery that would be unwarranted if served on absent class members.

NAR's Position

NAR does not object to the dismissal of Ms. Nager. However, NAR asks the Court to condition the dismissal on her production of documents and a short deposition, as is not uncommon

¹ Defendants also purported to include non-party Sawbill in their discovery requests, but have since advised Plaintiffs that they do not intend to pursue discovery against Sawbill.

² NAR served a total of two document requests directed to Ms. Nager for all communications "relating to your decision to join the lawsuit as a class representative" and "your decision to withdraw from this lawsuit."

in these situations so as to avoid prejudice to the defendants. Ms. Nager has been a named plaintiff since the inception of this case and is represented by class counsel. Complying with this modest discovery is not intended to harass her in any way. NAR has reason to believe that discovery of Ms. Nager would support defendants' argument that plaintiffs cannot meet the predominance requirement of Rule 23(b). In view of the fact that the franchisee discovery issues will occupy most of the Court's time during the hearing on February 24, NAR would request permission to submit a short brief on this issue.

III. THE HOMESERVICES DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN CLASS ALLEGATIONS

On January 12, 2021, Plaintiffs and the HomeServices Defendants completed briefing on the HomeServices Defendants' motion to strike certain class allegations. (Doc. Nos. 206, 208, 210.)

As the parties advised the Court during the January 19, 2021 status conference, the HomeServices Defendants have objected to producing documents and transactional data from the subsidiaries at issue pending resolution of the motion to strike. And, as the HomeServices Defendants ("HSDs") advised the Court, the parties met and conferred and reached an agreement that in the event that the Court denies the HSDs' motion to strike, the HSDs will designate an agreed-upon number of additional custodians and will produce reasonably accessible transactional data from these subsidiaries.

IV. THE LEEDER CASE

On January 25, 2021, a complaint was filed in *Leeder v. NAR*, *et al*, Case No. 1:21-cv-00430 (N.D. Ill.). Plaintiff Judah Leeder checked the "related case" box in the Civil Cover Sheet and identified this matter. He seeks to represent a class of residential home buyers, whereas the Plaintiffs in the instant action seek to represent a class of residential home sellers. The *Leeder* case is currently assigned to Judge Pacold. Defendants understand that the plaintiff in *Leeder* has taken the position that *Leeder* is related to this action and intends to file a motion before Your Honor to transfer the case to this Court.

V. REMAINING DISCOVERY ISSUES

Various Parties continue to confer on additional discovery issues, including the scope of certain document requests and transactional data productions. If, after conferring in good faith, the Parties are unable to resolve any outstanding discovery issues, they will raise them with the Court when they become ripe.

Dated: February 19, 2021

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Robert A. Braun

Daniel A. Small
dsmall@cohenmilstein.com
Kit A. Pierson
kpierson@cohenmilstein.com
Benjamin D. Brown
bbrown@cohenmilstein.com
Robert A. Braun
rbraun@cohenmilstein.com
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL
PLLC
1100 New York Ave. NW, Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 408-4600

Carol V. Gilden (Bar No. 6185530) cgilden@cohenmilstein.com COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 190 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1705 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 357-0370

Marc M. Seltzer mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com Steven G. Sklaver ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 789-3100

Matthew R. Berry mberry@susmangodfrey.com Alexander W. Aiken aaiken@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone: (206) 516-3880 Respectfully submitted,

Counsel for Homeservices of America, Inc., BHH Affiliates, LLC, HSF Affiliates, LLC, The Long & Foster Companies, Inc.

/s/ Robert D. Macgill

Robert D. Macgill robert.macgill@macgilllaw.com Matthew T. Ciulla matthew.ciulla@macgilllaw.com MACGILL PC 55 Monument Circle, Suite 1200C Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 721-1253

Jay N. Varon jvaron@foley.com Jennifer M. Keas jkeas@foley.com FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP 3000 K Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20007 (202) 672-5436

James D. Dasso jdasso@foley.com FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 321 N. Clark St., Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60654 (312) 832-4588

Counsel for Realogy Holdings Corp.

/s/ Kenneth M. Kliebard

Kenneth Michael Kliebard kenneth.kliebard@morganlewis.com Heather Nelson heather.nelson@morganlewis.com MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 500 Chicago, IL 60601-5094 (312) 324-1000 Beatrice C. Franklin bfranklin@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 336-8330

Steve W. Berman (Bar No. 3126833) steve@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292

Daniel Kurowski dank@hbsslaw.com Whitney Siehl wsiehl@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive, Suite 2410 Chicago, IL 60611 Telephone: (708) 628-4949

Rio S. Pierce riop@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Stacey Anne Mahoney, pro hac vice stacey.mahoney@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178 (212) 309-6000

William T. McEnroe william.mcenroe@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 963-5000

Counsel for Keller Williams Realty, Inc.

/s/ Timothy Ray

Timothy Ray
Timothy.Ray@hklaw.com
William F. Farley
william.farley@hklaw.com
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 263-3600

David C. Kully david.kully@hklaw.com Anna P. Hayes anna.hayes@hklaw.com HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 800 17th Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 469-5415

Counsel for RE/MAX, LLC

/s/ Jeremy J. Gray

Jeremy J. Gray jjgray@jonesday.com Odeshoo Hasdoo ehasdoo@jonesday.com Megan E. Ryan mryan@jonesday.com JONES DAY 77 W Wacker, Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60605 (312) 782-3939

Jeffrey A. LeVee jlevee@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 S. Flower Street, 50th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 243-2572

Counsel for Defendant National Association of Realtors®

/s/ Jack R. Bierig

Jack R. Bierig jbierig@schiffhardin.com Adam J. Diederich adiederich@schiffhardin.com SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100 Chicago, IL 60606 312-258-5500 (Phone) 312-258-5600 (Fax)

Robert J. Wierenga rwierenga@schiffhardin.com Suzanne L. Wahl swahl@schiffhardin.com SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 350 S. Main Street, Suite 210 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (734) 222-1517